

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,438	01/31/2001	Dennis L. Salbilla	P02104US0/10100157	3287	
75	590 11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Edmonds, P.C. Suite 130			CHORBAJI, MONZER R		
16815 Royal Crest Drive		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77058			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/773,438	SALBILLA, DENNIS L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1744		

	WONZER R. CHORDAGI	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.01.7,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(*
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,6,14,15,27,29-32 and 34-38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	alla	
	/Jun	V(X)VVV	
	SUPERV	ADYS JP CORCOR/ ISORY PATENT EXA	AN AMINER

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has added the new feature "more than 5 minutes" to claims 1, 27, 29 and 32. This new limitation requires further search and also additional evaluation.

## Response to Arguments

On page 6 of the Remarks section, Applicant argues that more than 5 minutes was previously implied in the claims through the limitation "continual", that pages 13-14 of the specification teaches continual processes that operate for more than 5 minutes. The examiner disagrees for the following reasons. First, a continual process does not mean that it continually functions for more than 5 minutes. Second, the disclosure does not positively recite this newly added feature and one of ordinary skill in the art reading the sepcification will not be able to recognize that Applicant's process is necessarily functioning more than 5 minutes.

On page 6 of the Remarks section, Applicant argues that the added limitation does not require new search since it was previously implied by the term "continual". The examiner disagrees. "Continual" and "more than 5 minutes" are different limitations that require additional search.